

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES**

Applicants: Senthil Kumar, *et al.*
Serial No.: 10/035,921
Filed: October 27, 2001
Title: REMOTELY CONFIGURABLE MEDIA AND ADVERTISEMENT
PLAYER AND METHODS OF MANUFACTURE AND
OPERATION THEREOF
Grp./A.U.: 3622
Examiner: John W. Van Bramer
Confirmation No 2210

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents

Thereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed
with United States Patent and trademark Office on:
March 19, 2009 (Date)
Elizabeth Schumacher
(Printed or typed name of person signing the certificate)
/Elizabeth Schumacher/
(Signature of the person signing the certificate)

ATTENTION: Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

Sirs:

SUPPLEMENTAL APPEAL BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. §41.37

This is an appeal from a Final Rejection dated May 19, 2008, of Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18, and 20-24. The Appellants originally submitted an Appeal Brief for the above application on January 12, 2009, with the statutory fee of \$270.00 as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §41.20(b)(2). In a Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed February 19, 2009, it was indicated that the Board of Patent

Appeals and Interferences (BPAI) returned the Appeal Brief because it does not comply with one or more provisions of 37 C.F.R. §41.37. More specifically, the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief indicated the Appeal Brief submitted on January 12, 2009 did not contain a statement of the status of all claims and did not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review. Furthermore, the Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief of February 19, 2009 indicated that only the defective sections need to be submitted.

Accordingly, the Appellants submit this Supplemental Appeal Brief that includes corrected section III, "Status of Claims" and corrected section VI, "Grounds of Rejection to be reviewed on Appeal." Since the statutory fee of \$270.00 has already been submitted, no further charges should be due at this time. However, the Appellants hereby authorize the Commissioner to charge any additional fees connected with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 08-2395.

Respectfully submitted,

HITT GAINES, P.C.



Steven J. Hanke
Registration No. 58,076

Dated: March 19, 2009

Hitt Gaines, P.C.
P.O. Box 832570
Richardson, Texas 75083-2570
(972) 480-8800
(972) 480-8865 (Fax)
steve.hanke@hittgaines.com

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-21 were originally submitted in the application. Claims 5, 12, and 19 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer and Claims 22-24 were added. Claims 1-4, 6-11, 13-18 and 20-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 6, 8, 13, 15, 20, and 22-24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b). Claims 2-4, 7, 9-11, 14, 16-18, and 21 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Each of the pending claims and are being appealed.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

The first issue presented for consideration in this appeal is whether Claims 1, 6, 8, 13, 15, 20, and 22-24, as rejected by the Examiner, are anticipated in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §102(b) by U.S. Patent No. 5,774,170 to Hite, *et al.* (hereinafter "Hite"). The second issue presented for consideration in this appeal is whether Claims 2-4, 7, 9-11, 14, 16-18, and 21, as rejected by the Examiner, are patentably non-obvious in accordance with 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Hite in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0054087 by Noll, *et al.* (hereinafter "Noll").